

Digital Childhood And Emotional Development In Children: Contemporary Psycho-Pedagogical Challenges

Sharipova Sh.S.

PhD in Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology

A.I. Herzen Russian State Pedagogical University, Tashkent Branch
(Tashkent, Uzbekistan)

Fazilova L.G.

3rd-Year Student, Child Psychology Program

A.I. Herzen Russian State Pedagogical University, Tashkent Branch
(Tashkent, Uzbekistan)

Article History	Abstract
Received: 8 th April, 2026 Accepted: 7 th May 2026	This article presents a systematic literature review examining the relationship between children's engagement with digital technologies and their emotional development, with a focus on contemporary psycho-pedagogical challenges. Publications from 2010 to 2024 indexed in PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, and Web of Science were analysed. The review addresses theoretical frameworks for understanding digital childhood, empirical evidence on the effects of screen time, social media use, and digital game engagement on emotional regulation, empathy, anxiety, and social competence in children and adolescents, as well as protective factors and evidence-based pedagogical responses. The analysis reveals a nuanced picture: while excessive and unstructured digital technology use is associated with elevated emotional dysregulation, social anxiety, and reduced empathy, moderate and purposefully scaffolded digital engagement can support emotional learning and social connection. The review identifies critical gaps in research from non-Western contexts, including Central Asia, and proposes a

	framework for psycho-pedagogically informed digital education for children in Uzbekistan.
Keywords: Digital Childhood, Emotional Development, Screen Time, Social Media, Emotional Regulation, Psycho-Pedagogy, Children, Literature Review	

1. Introduction

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies — smartphones, tablets, social media platforms, video games, and streaming services — has fundamentally transformed the developmental ecology of childhood in the twenty-first century. Children growing up today inhabit what has been termed a 'digital childhood' (Lemish & Kolucki, 2013), in which digital devices are omnipresent from infancy and the boundaries between online and offline experience are increasingly permeable. Global data indicate that children as young as two to three years now regularly interact with touchscreen devices, while by middle childhood the majority of children in high- and middle-income countries own or have unrestricted access to a smartphone (Livingstone et al., 2017).

These developments have generated both optimism and concern among developmental psychologists, educators, and public health researchers. On one hand, digital technologies offer unprecedented opportunities for learning, creativity, social connection, and access to diverse sources of information and culture (Valkenburg & Patti, 2022). On the other, a growing body of evidence points to associations between excessive or unstructured digital technology use and adverse outcomes including emotional dysregulation, social anxiety, depression, reduced empathy, impaired sleep, and attentional difficulties (Twenge et al., 2018; Orben & Przybylski, 2019). Disentangling the conditions under which digital technologies support versus undermine emotional development represents one of the central psycho-pedagogical challenges of the current era.

In Uzbekistan and Central Asia, the digitalisation of childhood is proceeding rapidly, driven by expanding mobile internet infrastructure and increasing smartphone penetration, yet research on the psycho-pedagogical implications of this transformation is virtually absent from the scientific literature. This review aims to synthesise the international evidence on digital

childhood and emotional development and to identify priorities for research and practice in the Central Asian context.

2. Theoretical Frameworks

Several theoretical frameworks have been applied to understanding the relationship between digital technology engagement and children's emotional development. The displacement hypothesis (Kraut et al., 1998) proposes that time spent with digital technologies displaces higher-quality developmental activities — face-to-face interaction, outdoor play, sleep, and reading — thereby impoverishing the social-emotional experiences essential for healthy development. While empirically supported in some contexts, this hypothesis has been criticised for treating all digital use as equivalent and failing to account for the developmental significance of digital social interactions (Valkenburg & Patti, 2022).

The differential susceptibility to media effects model (Valkenburg & Peter, 2013) proposes that the effects of digital media on emotional development are moderated by individual dispositional variables (particularly emotional reactivity and social competence), social context variables (parental mediation, peer norms), and media-use variables (content, context, and duration). This model generates more nuanced predictions than simple dose-response models and has attracted strong empirical support across multiple countries. The social displacement model of Twenge et al. (2018) specifically implicates smartphone-mediated social comparison and cyberbullying as mechanisms through which social media use increases adolescent depression and anxiety, particularly in girls.

From a neurobiological perspective, the concern centres on the potential disruption of developing neural circuits for emotional regulation — particularly the prefrontal-limbic pathways — by the high-stimulation, reward-contingent features of many digital environments, which may habituate reward systems in ways that reduce motivation for less immediately stimulating but developmentally important activities (Greenfield, 2014; Hutton et al., 2020).

3. Screen Time And Emotional Regulation

The relationship between total screen time and children's emotional regulation capacities has been examined in numerous cross-sectional and a growing number of longitudinal studies. A systematic review by Twenge & Campbell (2019) of studies involving over 500,000 adolescents found consistent positive associations between smartphone and social media use exceeding two hours per day and indicators of emotional distress, including depressive

symptoms, loneliness, and suicidal ideation, particularly in girls aged 12–17. However, the magnitude of these associations was modest (explaining approximately 0.5–2% of variance in well-being), leading Orben & Przybylski (2019) to conclude that screen time effects on well-being, while statistically reliable, are considerably smaller than those of other environmental risk factors.

Longitudinal evidence is more informative regarding directional relationships. Coyne et al. (2020), in a pre-registered longitudinal study of over 2,000 adolescents, found that social media use did not predict subsequent depression or anxiety when prior mental health status was controlled, suggesting that the cross-sectional associations may partly reflect reverse causation — emotionally distressed children seeking social media engagement rather than social media causing distress. In younger children (under 5 years), Madigan et al.'s (2019) meta-analysis found modest but significant associations between early screen exposure and subsequent externalising behaviour problems ($d = 0.20$), suggesting greater vulnerability in this developmental period.

4. Social Media, Empathy, And Social Competence

The impact of social media use on children's empathy and broader social competence has emerged as a particular area of concern. Konrath et al. (2011), examining data from 72 studies spanning 30 years, documented a significant decline in empathy among American college students coinciding with the rise of social media — a finding subsequently replicated in several European and Asian samples. The proposed mechanisms include reduced face-to-face interaction limiting opportunities for empathy skill development, social comparison dynamics promoting self-focused rather than other-focused cognition, and the anonymity and disinhibition effects characteristic of online communication (Twenge et al., 2018).

However, not all digital social interaction is empathy-reducing. Valkenburg & Patti (2022) distinguish between passive consumption of social media content — which shows negative associations with empathy and well-being — and active, relationship-building digital communication, which can support social bonds and even facilitate empathy development, particularly among socially anxious children who find face-to-face interaction difficult. This distinction has important implications for pedagogical guidance: the psychopedagogical goal should be supporting purposeful, relationally meaningful digital communication rather than wholesale restriction of digital social engagement.

5. Digital Games And Emotional Development

The relationship between digital game engagement and emotional development is among the most contested in the field. Early moral panic narratives focused on violent video game content have given way to more differentiated analyses recognising the diversity of gaming genres, contexts, and motivations. Granic et al. (2014), in an influential review, identified several pathways through which digital games may support positive emotional development: promoting positive affect and flow states, providing controllable environments for emotion regulation practice, supporting social connection through multiplayer formats, and building resilience through repeated failure-and-recovery cycles. Pro-social game content has been shown to increase helping behaviour and empathy in experimental studies (Gentile et al., 2009).

Problematic gaming — characterised by loss of control over gaming behaviour, prioritisation of gaming over other activities, and continuation despite negative consequences — is now recognised as a clinical condition (Gaming Disorder, ICD-11) and shows reliable associations with depression, anxiety, and social isolation (Kuss & Griffiths, 2012). Prevalence estimates range from 1 to 9% of gaming children and adolescents across different cultures. Protective factors include warm parenting, peer social support, and high school engagement.

6. Psycho-Pedagogical Responses And Implications For Uzbekistan

Evidence-based psycho-pedagogical responses to digital childhood challenges operate at multiple levels. At the individual level, digital literacy education — encompassing critical evaluation of online content, understanding of social comparison dynamics, and self-regulation of technology use — has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing social media-related anxiety and improving digital well-being (Livingstone et al., 2017). SEL-integrated digital education programmes that explicitly address online emotional expression, empathy in digital communication, and responsible digital citizenship show promising outcomes (Weissberg et al., 2015).

At the family level, authoritative parental mediation — characterised by joint media engagement, discussion of online experiences, and co-construction of family media rules — is consistently associated with more positive digital well-being outcomes than either restrictive or laissez-faire approaches (Livingstone et al., 2017). At the school and policy level, evidence supports investment in teacher digital competence, the development of age-appropriate digital use guidelines, and the creation of school environments that balance digital and non-digital developmental opportunities.

In Uzbekistan, the rapid expansion of smartphone access among children and adolescents, combined with limited digital literacy education infrastructure, creates conditions of particular vulnerability. The integration of digital well-being components into the national curriculum, the training of school psychologists in digital childhood challenges, and the development of family-facing guidance materials represent priority actions for the national educational system (Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan, 2022).

7. Conclusion

The relationship between digital childhood and emotional development is complex, moderated by usage patterns, content, context, individual characteristics, and cultural factors. The international evidence indicates that excessive, passive, and unstructured digital technology use poses genuine risks to children's emotional regulation, empathy, and social competence, while purposeful, moderated, and relationally oriented digital engagement can be developmentally neutral or even beneficial. Translating these insights into psycho-pedagogically informed practice requires culturally sensitive adaptation, particularly in contexts such as Uzbekistan where digital transformation is proceeding rapidly without a corresponding evidence base for guidance. Future research priorities include the development of validated digital well-being assessment tools for Central Asian populations and the evaluation of culturally adapted digital literacy and SEL programmes.

References

1. Coyne, S. M., Rogers, A. A., Zurcher, J. D., Stockdale, L., & Booth, M. (2020). Does time spent using social media impact mental health? An eight year longitudinal study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 104, 106160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.106160>
2. Gentile, D. A., Anderson, C. A., Yukawa, S., Ihori, N., Saleem, M., Ming, L. K., & Sakamoto, A. (2009). The effects of prosocial video games on prosocial behaviors. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 35(6), 752–763. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167209333045>
3. Granic, I., Lobel, A., & Engels, R. C. M. E. (2014). The benefits of playing video games. *American Psychologist*, 69(1), 66–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034857>
4. Greenfield, P. M. (2014). *Mind and media: The effects of television, video games, and computers*. Psychology Press.

5. Hutton, J. S., Dudley, J., Horowitz-Kraus, T., DeWitt, T., & Holland, S. K. (2020). Associations between screen-based media use and brain white matter integrity in preschool-aged children. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 174(1), e193869. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.3869>
6. Konrath, S. H., O'Brien, E. H., & Hsing, C. (2011). Changes in dispositional empathy in American college students over time: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 15(2), 180–198. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868310377395>
7. Kraut, R., Patterson, M., Lundmark, V., Kiesler, S., Mukophadhyay, T., & Scherlis, W. (1998). Internet paradox: A social technology that reduces social involvement and psychological well-being? *American Psychologist*, 53(9), 1017–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.53.9.1017>
8. Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2012). Internet gaming addiction: A systematic review of empirical research. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 10(2), 278–296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-011-9318-5>
9. Lemish, D., & Kolucki, B. (2013). Media and early childhood development. In P. R. Britto, P. L. Engle, & C. M. Super (Eds.), *Handbook of early childhood development research and its impact on global policy* (pp. 267–287). Oxford University Press.
10. Livingstone, S., Mascheroni, G., & Staksrud, E. (2017). European research on children's internet use: Assessing the past and anticipating the future. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 1103–1122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816685930>
11. Madigan, S., Browne, D., Racine, N., Mori, C., & Tough, S. (2019). Association between screen time and children's performance on a developmental screening test. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(3), 244–250. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.5056>
12. Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2022). *Digital education strategy 2022–2026*. Tashkent.
13. Orben, A., & Przybylski, A. K. (2019). The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3(2), 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1>
14. Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2019). Media use is linked to lower psychological well-being: Evidence from three datasets. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 90(2), 311–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-019-09630-7>

15. Twenge, J. M., Joiner, T. E., Rogers, M. L., & Martin, G. N. (2018). Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among U.S. adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 6(1), 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702617723376>
16. Valkenburg, P. M., & Patti, M. (2022). Social media use and well-being: A review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 148(11–12), 1011–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000365>
17. Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2013). The differential susceptibility to media effects model. *Journal of Communication*, 63(2), 221–243. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12024>
18. Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Domitrovich, C. E., & Gullotta, T. P. (2015). Social and emotional learning: Past, present, and future. In J. A. Durlak et al. (Eds.), *Handbook of social and emotional learning* (pp. 3–19). Guilford Press.